1	Ben M. Harrington (SBN 313877)	Fomon D. Vally (nyo haa viaa farthaamina)
1	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO	Eamon P. Kelly (pro hac vice forthcoming) Joseph Vanek (pro hac vice pending)
2	LLP	Alberto Rodriguez (pro hac vice forthcoming)
	715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 300	SPERLING KENNY NACHWALTER
3	Berkeley, CA 94710	321 North Clark Street, 25th Floor
4	Telephone: (510) 725-3000	Chicago, IL 60654
4	Facsimile: (510) 725-3001	Telephone: (312) 641-3200
5	Email: benh@hbsslaw.com	Facsimile: (312) 641-6492
	Zinain oʻlingi mossia wibom	Email: ekelly@sperlingkenny.com
6	Steve W. Berman (pro hac vice forthcoming)	Email: jvanek@sperlingkenny.com
7	Theodore Wojcik (pro hac vice forthcoming)	Email: arodriguez@sperlingkenny.com
7	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO	
8	LLP	Phillip F. Cramer (pro hac vice pending)
Ü	1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000	SPERLING KENNY NACHWALTER
9	Seattle, WA 98101	1221 Broadway, Suite 2140
1.0	Telephone: (206) 623-7292	Nashville, TN 37203
10	Facsimile: (206) 623-0594	Telephone: (615) 252-5800
11	Email: steve@hbsslaw.com	Facsimile: (615) 252-5853
11	Email: tedw@hbsslaw.com	Email: pcramer@sperlingkenny.com
12		
	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class
13	V 2 V 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	DISTRICT COLUMN
14		DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA
17		D DIVISION
15	Of IREA II VI	S DI VISION
1.6	EPIC GAMES, INC.,	Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR
16		DI A INTERESC DECRONCE
17	Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE SUPPORTING CASE RELATION
1,		PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL
18	V.	RULE 3-12(e)
1.0	APPLE INC.,	, ,
19	ATTLE INC.,	Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
20	Defendant and Counterclaimant.	
20		
21		
	PURE SWEAT BASKETBALL INC., on behalf	Case No. 5:25-cv-03858-EKL
22	of itself and all others similarly situated,	
23		
23	Plaintiff,	
24		
	V.	
25	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	
26	711 1 LL 1110., a Camornia corporation,	
26	Defendant.	
27	2 33333333	
		-
	11	

28

By Order dated May 6, 2025, Magistrate Judge Nathanael M. Cousins referred *Pure Sweat Basketball, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, No. 5:25-cv-03858-EKL (N.D. Cal.) ("*Pure Sweat*") to this Court to determine whether it is related to *Epic Games, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR (N.D. Cal.) ("*Epic Games*"). Plaintiff Pure Sweat Basketball respectfully submits that *Pure Sweat* and *Epic Games* are related cases satisfying all criteria set forth in Civil L.R. 3-12(a). Accordingly, Pure Sweat Basketball submits this response pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12(e) supporting case relation. *See* Civil L.R. 3-12(c) (requiring parties to submit any response supporting or opposing case relation pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12(e) following *sua sponte* referral).

Under Civil Local Rule 3-12(a), "[a]n action is related to another when: (1) [t]he actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and (2) [i]t appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges." The newly filed *Pure Sweat* action is related to the *Epic Games* action.

First, Epic Games and Pure Sweat "concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event." Civil L.R. 3-12(a)(1). The claim in Pure Sweat is that Apple willfully violated the 2021 injunction entered after a bench trial in Epic Games, and that Apple's violation of the injunction harmed Plaintiff Pure Sweat Basketball and other similarly situated app developers. Pure Sweat Basketball contends that, by violating the Epic Games injunction, Apple prevented its developers from using links to sell in-app products directly to their customers. As a result, developers paid, and Apple unlawfully retained, potentially billions in commissions that Apple would not have received had it complied with the injunction. See Pure Sweat, 5:25-cv-3858 (N.D. Cal.), ECF No. 1 at ¶¶ 1-6.

The Court in *Epic Games* recently evaluated these same issues. Following multiple hearings, the Court in *Epic Games* held Apple in contempt for violating the 2021 injunction, concluding that "Apple sought to maintain a revenue stream worth billions in direct defiance of this Court's injunction." *Epic Games*, 4:20-cv-5640 (N.D. Cal.), ECF No. 1508 at 2. The *Epic Games* and *Pure Sweat* actions thus concern the same subject matter and events—specifically, Apple's noncompliance with the *Epic Games* injunction and the effect of that noncompliance.

1 Regardless, nothing in Civil Local Rule 3-12 requires cases to contain identical allegations, 2 claims or theories. See, e.g., Our Children's Earth Found. V. Nat'l Marine Fisheries Serv., 2015 3 WL 4452136, at *12 (N.D. Cal. July 20, 2015) (relating cases involving substantially the same 4 matter" despite "slightly differing parties" and "a differing underlying FOIA request"); In re 5 Leapfrog Enters., Inc. Sec. Litig., 2005 WL 5327775, at *1 (N.D. Cal. July 5, 2005) (relating cases 6 involving different plaintiffs that "name the same defendants, make similar factual allegations, and 7 seek redress for violation of the same sections of the Securities and Exchange Act"). 8 Second, relating these cases would avoid "an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and 9 expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges." Civil L.R. 10 3-12(a)(2). This Court has presided over *Epic Games* for nearly five years and is deeply familiar 11 with the legal and factual issues concerning Apple's response to the injunction and efforts to 12 circumvent its strictures. Given the time and effort that the Court has already expended in assessing 13 similar claims premised on shared factual predicates, relating *Epic Games* and *Pure Sweat* would 14 conserve judicial resources and ensure consistent adjudication of common issues. A different court 15 in this District would lack this Court's familiarity and expertise with the cases' common facts and 16 issues, and separate litigations would require the other court to needlessly duplicate previous 17 judicial efforts. 18 Pure Sweat Basketball respectfully requests that this Court enter an order relating *Epic* 19 Games and Pure Sweat. 20 21 DATED: May 7, 2025 Respectfully submitted, 22 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 23 By /s/Ben M. Harrington 24 BEN M. HARRINGTON (SBN 313877) 25 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 300 Berkeley, CA 94710 26 Telephone: (510) 725-3000 27 Facsimile: (510) 725-3001 Email: benh@hbsslaw.com

PLS.' RESP. SUPPORTING CASE RELATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12(e) – 2 Case Nos. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR; 5:25-cv-3858-EKL

28

1 Steve W. Berman (pro hac vice forthcoming) 2 Theodore Wojcik (pro hac vice forthcoming) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 3 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101 4 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 5 Email: steve@hbsslaw.com 6 Email: tedw@hbsslaw.com 7 Eamon P. Kelly (pro hac vice forthcoming) Joseph Vanek (pro hac vice pending) 8 Alberto Rodriguez (pro hac vice forthcoming) 9 SPERLING KENNY NACHWALTER 321 North Clark Street, 25th Floor 10 Chicago, IL 60654 Telephone: (312) 641-3200 11 Facsimile: (312) 641-6492 Email: ekelly@sperlingkenny.com 12 Email: jvanek@sperlingkenny.com 13 Email: arodriguez@sperlingkenny.com 14 Phillip F. Cramer (pro hac vice pending) SPERLING KENNY NACHWALTER 15 1221 Broadway, Suite 2140 Nashville, TN 37203 16 Telephone: (615) 252-5800 17 Facsimile: (615) 252-5853 Email: pcramer@sperlingkenny.com 18 Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PLS.' RESP. SUPPORTING CASE RELATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12(e) - 3

Case Nos. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR; 5:25-cv-3858-EKL